This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

PCT.

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



| INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISH | HED (| INDER THE PATENT COOPERATION | N TREATY (PCT) |
|--|--------|---|---------------------------|
| (51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : | | (11) International Publication Number: | WO 99/10512 |
| C12N 15/82, 1/20, A01H 5/00 | A1 | (43) International Publication Date: | 4 March 1999 (04.03.99) |
| (21) International Application Number: PCT/EP9 (22) International Filing Date: 25 August 1998 (2) | | BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, | |
| (30) Priority Data: 97114654.3 25 August 1997 (25.08.97) (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): NU ZADEN B.V. [NL/NL]; P.O. Box 4005, NL-6 Haelen (NL). | NHEM | | ne limit for amending the |
| (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): DIRKS, Rob [Kasteeldreef 23, B-3650 Dilsen-Stokkem (BE). PE Roger [NI_/NL]; Stellingmolen 16, NL-6003 CI (NL). | ETER | Š, | |
| (74) Agents: DESAIX, Anne et al.; Ernest Gutmann-Yves aud S.A., 3, rue Chauveau-Lagarde, F-75008 Paris | | r- | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| (54) Title: IMPROVED AGROBACTERIUM-MEDIATED | TRA | NSFORMATION OF PLANTS | |
| (57) Abstract | | | |
| Agrobacterium strains which have lost the capacity transformation methods using these Agrobacterium strains. | y to p | roliferate vigourously in vitro or in planta, | are provided, as well as |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

| AL | Albania | ES | Spain | LS | Lesotho | SI | Slovenia |
|----|--------------------------|----|---------------------|----|-----------------------|----|--------------------------|
| AM | Armenia | FI | Finland | LT | Lithuania | SK | Slovakia |
| AT | Austria | FR | France | LU | Luxembourg | SN | Senegal |
| ΑÜ | Australia | GA | Gabon | LV | Latvia | SZ | Swaziland |
| AZ | Azerbaijan | GB | United Kingdom | MC | Monaco | TD | Chad |
| BA | Bosnia and Herzegovina | GE | Georgia | MD | Republic of Moldova | TG | Togo |
| ВВ | Barbados | GH | Ghana | MG | Madagascar | TJ | Tajikistan |
| BE | Belgium | GN | Guinea | MK | The former Yugoslav | TM | Turkmenistan |
| BF | Burkina Faso | GR | Greece | | Republic of Macedonia | TR | Turkey |
| BG | Bulgaria | HU | Hungary | ML | Mali | TT | Trinidad and Tobago |
| BJ | Benin | IE | Ireland | MN | Mongolia | UA | Ukraine |
| BR | Brazil | IL | Israel | MR | Mauritania | UG | Uganda |
| BY | Belarus | IS | Iceland | MW | Malawi | บร | United States of America |
| CA | Canada | IT | Italy | MX | Mexico | UZ | Uzbekistan |
| CF | Central African Republic | JP | Japan | NE | Niger | VN | Viet Nam |
| CG | Congo | KE | Kenya | NL | Netherlands | YU | Yugoslavia |
| СН | Switzerland | KG | Kyrgyzstan | NO | Norway | ZW | Zimbabwe |
| CI | Côte d'Ivoire | KP | Democratic People's | NZ | New Zealand | | |
| CM | Cameroon | | Republic of Korea | PL | Poland | | |
| CN | China | KR | Republic of Korea | PT | Portugal | | |
| CU | Cuba | KZ | Kazakstan | RO | Romania | • | |
| CZ | Czech Republic | LC | Saint Lucia | RU | Russian Federation | | |
| DE | Germany | LI | Liechtenstein | SD | Sudan | | |
| DK | Denmark | LK | Sri Lanka | SE | Sweden | | |
| EE | Estonia | LR | Liberia | SG | Singapore | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | |

WO 99/10512 PCT/EP98/05372

IMPROVED AGROBACTERIUM-MEDIATED TRANSFORMATION OF PLANTS

This invention relates to the use of *Agrobacterium* strains which have lost the capacity to proliferate vigourously *in vitro* or *in planta*, particularly to the use of auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* strains, to generate transformed plants.

Background of the invention

10

5

Over the years many techniques for the genetic transformation of plants have been developed. These methods have as ultimate goal to obtain a transgenic plant, in which all cells contain a foreign DNA comprising a gene of interest (the so-called transgene) stably integrated in their genome, particularly their nuclear genome.

15

Different plant transformation methods have been described and can be classified into physical DNA delivery methods (e.g. electroporation, PEG-mediated DNA uptake, biolistics) or *Agrobacterium*-mediated DNA transfer. The latter one frequently is superior in efficiency, simplicity and quality of the transgenic plants (which generally comprise a smaller number of transgenes and have a lower occurence of aberrant transgenes).

25

30

20

Agrobacterium-mediated DNA transformation of plants is based on the capacity of certain Agrobacterium strains to introduce a part of their Tiplasmid, i.e. the T-DNA, into plant cells and to integrate this T-DNA into the nuclear genome of the cells. It was found that the part of the Ti-plasmid that is transferred and integrated is delineated by specific DNA sequences, the so-called left and right T-DNA border sequences and that the natural T-DNA sequences between these border sequences can be replaced by

10

15

20

25

30

foreign DNA (European Patent Publication "EP" 116718; 1987 Deblaere et al. (1987) Meth. Enzymol. 153: 277-293).

Frequently, *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation protocols call for the use of readily regenerable excised plant tissues, organs or parts of organs, such as leaf discs, internodia, stem segments and the like. Alternatively, *in vitro* cultured tissues (e.g. compact embryogenic callus), suspension cultures or single cells (protoplasts) are employed as starting material to be transformed. For *Arabidopsis*, protocols have been described wherein seeds or total plants are inoculated (so called *in planta* transformation protocols).

A common feature of all protocols is that the cells, tissues or plants to be transformed are co-cultivated for a certain time with the *Agrobacterium* strains and subsequently, the proliferation of the *Agrobacterium* strains has to be restricted or eliminated by the use of bacteriocides or bacteriostatics such as antibiotics, particularly if further *in vitro* culturing is required. Frequently, the utilized concentrations of antibiotics interfere with or even inhibit the efficient regeneration of the transformed plant cells. Indeed it has been demonstrated that addition of certain antibiotics with a betalactam core structure, such as carbenicillin, to the plant medium may have cytokinin-like effects (WO 97/12512). Furthermore, the *Agrobacterium* strain of interest frequently comprises a bacterially expressed antibiotic resistance gene, such as but not limited to beta-lactamases, further restricting the spectrum of suitable antibiotics.

A problem frequently observed with the transformation of certain recalcitrant plant species, is that the regenerable cell layer may not be readily accessible to *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation. Indeed, histogenetic analysis has demonstrated that e.g., in tomato, shoots

induced by cytokinin treatment are derived from a few neocambial cells (Monacelli et al. 1988, *Protoplasma* **142**, 156-163).

Another regularly encountered problem in *Agrobacterium* mediated transformation is the stress that vigourously proliferating *Agrobacterium* strains exercise on plants or plant parts, particularly when culturing the transformed plants or tissues *in vitro*, which may lead to inhibited regeneration or even death of the cells or explants.

Lippincott *et al*, 1965 (*Journal of Bacteriology* **90**, 1155-1156) describe that auxotrophic mutants of *Agrobacterium* strain B6 are greatly reduced in infectivity (<1 to 30% of the parent specific infectivity).

Lippincot and Lippincott (1966, *Journal of Bacteriology* **92**, 937-945) describe the further characterization of *Agrobacterium* mutant strains auxotrophic for adenine, methionine or asparagine and established that infectivity could be increased by simultaneously applying the required nutrient to the infected leaves at the time of infection with the auxotrophic *Agrobacteria*.

20

15

5

10

Christen et al. (1984, Z. Pflanzenphysiol. Bd., 113 S. 213-221) demonstrated that leucine- and histidine requiring Agrobacterium tumefaciens mutants grow extremely poorly in the presence of dividing Nicotiana tabacum cv. xanthi protoplasts and suggested the use of such auxotrophic strains for cocultivation with plant protoplasts in the presence of a limiting amount of the required nutrient to allow temporary Agrobacterium division, as an alternative to the use of antibiotics.

30

25

Chang et al. (1994, The Plant Journal 5, 551-558) describe a transformation protocol for Arabidopsis involving severing of apical shoots at their bases, inoculation with Agrobacterium at the severed sites, and in

10

15

20

25

30

planta generation of shoots from the severed sites to produce stably transformed progeny.

An improved *in planta* transformation method for *Arabidopsis* was described by Bechtold *et al.* (1993, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sciences de la vie* **316**: 1194-9) based on vacuum infiltration of a suspension of *Agrobacterium* cells into *Arabidopsis* plants, followed by selection of transformed progeny in the T1 seed. The authors assumed that a main limiting factor for the transformation frequency would be the restricted persistence of bacteria in the plant from the germination stage until the seed formation.

Summary of the invention

In accordance with the invention, provided is a process to produce a transgenic plant comprising a foreign DNA fragment integrated into the genome of at least some of its cells, comprising the following steps:

1) providing a plant or part of a plant, which is systemically infected with an auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* strain, preferably a methionine or cysteine requiring or a histidine and adenine requiring auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* strain, particularly LBA4404*met* or ATHV*ade*, *his*, harbouring a DNA of interest which is linked to at least one T-DNA border sequence so as to be capable of being transferred to a plant cell, wherein the infected plant is preferably regenerated from explant tissue inoculated with said auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* strain; and 2) generating a transgenic plant from a single cell or a group of cells isolated from the systemically infected plant, preferably by *in vitro* regeneration of an explant tissue, a protoplast or a microspore isolated from the systemically

10

15

20

25

30

infected plant or alternatively by germination of transformed progeny seed of the systemically infected plant.

The process may further comprise the step of applying the required nutrient to at least part of the systemically infected plant, preferably to the infloresence meristem or immature infloresence of the systemically infected plant, prior to the step of generating a transformed plant from the systemically infected plant.

Also provided by the invention are *Agrobacterium* strains auxotrophic for methionine or cysteine or auxotrophic for adenine and histidine, particularly LBA4404*met* or ATHV*ade*, *his*, and their use in *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of plants, particularly in *Agrobacterium* mediated transformation of corn protoplasts, or alternatively in the *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of embryogenic callus, particularly embryogenic callus from cucumber or sugar beet.

Detailed description of the invention.

The present invention is based on the finding that auxotrophic Agrobacterium strains as hereinafter defined can survive when inoculated on an explant and successfully establish a systemic infection of the regenerated plant. The presence of the endemic auxotrophic Agrobacteria does not interfere with the plant regeneration, even in the absence of bacteriocidal or bacteriostatic compounds. Moreover, such bacteria are still able to transform cells, as can be evidenced by the detection of transformed patches of marker gene expression in the regenerated plants. Finally, tissue explants from the systemically infected plants can be further cultivated *in vitro*, without bacteria overgrowing the explants.

10

15

20

25

30

The auxotrophic Agrobacterium strains of the invention allow controlled proliferation of the bacterial cells upon in planta and in vitro co-cultivation. and inoculated plants, tissues or cells are no longer overgrown by the proliferating bacteria. The Agrobacterium strains of the invention can thus be used to establish a plant systemically infected with such strains. The contacting time with the inoculated Agrobacterium strain is increased, allowing the bacteria to penetrate the inner plant tissues and reach and transform the appropriate target tissues such as the underlying regenerable cells. Such a prolonged incubation, however, can only be achieved if the vigorous proliferation of the bacteria can be controlled without killing them, as is achieved by the auxotrophic Agrobacterium strains of the invention. The method is particularly useful whenever an in vitro cultivation step is required in the generation of a systemically infected plant (e.g. regeneration of a systemically infected plant from a tissue explant, e.g. a stem segment).

Furthermore, the use of auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* strains to generate a plant systemically infected with such a strain and combination with the locally supplementing the required nutrient allows the local and controlled growth of *Agrobacteria* inside specifically targetted tissues, such as, but not limited to, the inflorescence yielding meristem.

Auxotrophic Agrobacterium strains suited for the methods of the invention, are those Agrobacterium strains which are unable to proliferate on a defined medium unless a particularly required nutrient (or nutrients) is (are) supplied to that medium. Preferably, the Agrobacterium strain is unable to proliferate vigorously in or on plant tissues.

Particularly preferred auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* strains are auxotrophic for molecules that are present only in limited amounts in plant tissues or intercellular fluids, more particularly for nucleosides or nucleotides (purine or pyrimidine) or amino-acids. Especially preferred is an *Agrobacterium*

strain auxotrophic for an amino-acid, particularly auxotrophic for a sulfur-containing amino-acid, especially auxotrophic for cysteine or methionine. Especially preferred is an *Agrobacterium* strain, that produces a defective homocysteine methyltransferase (EC. 2.1.1.14) or no homocysteine methyltransferase at all. It is however known that mutations in other genes, such as the genes coding for homoserine succinyltransferase (EC 2.3.1.46), cystathonine (gamma)-synthase (EC 4.2.99.9) or cystathionine (beta)-lyase (EC 4.4.1.8), can also lead to an *Agrobacterium* strain auxotrophic for methionine and such strains can be used to similar effect.

10

5

Yet another especially preferred *Agrobacterium* strain is an auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* strain requiring two different nutrients, particularly requiring adenine and histidine, such as ATHV*ade*, *his*.

15

It is preferred that the auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* mutant strain should have a low frequency of reversion. It is known in the art that deletion mutations result in a low frequency of reversion, and methods to generate deletions in bacteria are available to skilled artisan (e.g. Van Haute *et al.*, 1983, *EMBO J.* 2: 411-417).

20

It goes without saying that all *Agrobacterium* strains, whether they belong to the species *rhizogenes*, *tumefaciens* or *radiobacter*, can be used according to the invention as host to harbour the T-DNA vectors and helper plasmids necessary to transfer the genes of interest to plant cells, provided they have a mutation rendering them auxotrophic as defined above. A prefered strain is an auxotrophic LBA4404 strain, particularly LBA4404*met*.

30

25

It should also be clear that the type of T-DNA vector or helper Ti-plasmid harboured by the auxotrophic host is not important for working the invention. It has been shown that certain Ti-plasmids such as the

pTiBO542 derived pEHA101 (Hood *et al.* 1986 *J. Bacteriology* **168**: 1291-1301) can improve the frequency of T-DNA transfer to plants. It has also been shown that introduction of the *vir* genes, particularly *vir*G or *vir*G together with *vir*B, of a hypervirulent Ti-plasmid such as pTiBO542, on a replicon which is compatible with the resident Ti-helper plasmid, such as exemplified by pTOK47 (Jin *et al.*, 1987 *J. Bacteriol.* **169**, 4417-4425) can improve the frequency of T-DNA transfer to plants. It is clear that auxotrophic strains harbouring such plasmids can be used for the plant transformation methods of the invention. It has further been demonstrated that so-called superbinary vectors, comprising an extra *vir*G gene of a supervirulent Ti-plasmid, particularly a *vir*G gene from pTiBo542 can improve the frequency of transfer to certain plants (e.g. EP 0604662) and again auxotrophic strains harbouring such T-DNA vectors can be used for the plant transformation methods of the invention.

15

10

5

In accordance with this invention, a method is provided for producing a transgenic plant comprising a foreign DNA fragment integrated into the genome of at least some of its cells, comprising the following steps.

20

1) providing a plant or part of a plant, systemically infected with an auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* strain harbouring a DNA of interest which is operably linked to at least one T-DNA border sequence, preferably operably linked to two T-DNA border sequences; and 2) regenerating a transformed plant from transformed single cells or a group of transformed cells derived from the systemically infected plant.

25

30

In a preferred embodiment a systemically infected plant is generated by inoculation of an explant tissue with an auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* strain, as herein described, harbouring a DNA of interest, followed by co-cultivation of the explant and the auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* strain *in vitro* for a period of time sufficient to establish a successfull infection. Next, the

excess of bacteria is removed and a systemically infected, intact plant is regenerated.

Methods to cultivate auxotrophic *Agrobacteria* are generally known in the art. It is thought to be important, in order to establish a successfull systemic infection, that the required nutrients carried over from the cultivation medium are not completely removed prior to the inoculation step. Furthermore, an incubation of the auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* strain in the presence of plant phenolic compounds inducing *vir*-gene expression, such as *e.g.* acetosyringone, prior to inoculation, may be included in the methods of the invention. Such pre-induction with plant phenolic compounds has been amply described in the available literature (e.g. Vernade *et al.*, 1988 *J. Bacteriol.* 170: 5822-5829). Alternatively, the *vir*-inducing compound may be supplied locally to the systemically infected plant.

15

20

25

30

5

10

The inoculation method used is expected not to be important. Preferably, the explant is submersed in a suspension of *Agrobacterium* cells with density or OD₆₀₀ ranging from 0.01 to 2, preferably from 0.1 to 1.5, particularly about 0.1. However, other methods such as vacuum infiltration, injection of *Agrobacterium* cells, and the like in plants or plant parts, can be used to the same effect.

It should be clear that it is not necessary that cells of the explant tissue are transformed, just that the explant is infected by the *Agrobacterium* strain, so as to be capable of regeneration into a plant which is systemically infected by the *Agrobacterium* strain.

It is thought that the main limitation for the explant tissue consists in the ability to regenerate into a whole plant, preferably into a fertile plant. Therefore, a wide range of explants, depending on the plant species to be transformed, can be used as starting material for the transformation

methods of the invention, such as stem segments or internodia, callus tissues, preferably embryogenic callus, particularly compact embryogenic callus tissue of cereal plants.

In a preferred embodiment the co-cultivation of the explant and the *Agrobacterium* strain *in vitro*, extends for about two to five days, but is it thought that this time period can be shortened to as less as 1 day or extended to as much as 10 days. However, particularly with extended incubation periods, care has to be taken that the *Agrobacteria*, which continue dividing because of the residual required nutrients, carried over from the bacterial cultivation medium upon inoculation, do not completely overgrow the explant.

Excess of bacteria sticking to the surface of the explant, can optionally be removed *e.g.* simply by washing or dipping on a sterile paper tissue.

15

20

10

5

Finally, a plant which is systemically infected with the *Agrobacteria* of interest, is regenerated, either *in vitro*, in soil or both. The method is particularly interesting where a regeneration *in vitro* is required, since the auxotrophic *Agrobacteria* cannot grow on unsupplemented, commonly used plant media (such as *e.g.* Murashige and Skoog medium) even in the absence of antibiotics.

Transformed plant cells may be enriched during the regeneration of the plant from the explant tissue, by cultivation on media containing a selective agent for the transformed plant cells as generally known in the art. For the method of the invention however it is important that the *Agrobacteria* can tolerate the used selective agent.

30

25

It is known that the auxotrophic *Agrobacteria*, which are present in the systemically infected regenerated plants, retain the capacity to transfer the DNA of interest to several tisues, and expression of a transgene has been

demonstrated at least in anthers, leafs, flower primorida and the like. In view of the observed diversity of stably transformed tissue, it is thought that the *Agrobacteria* can gain acces to all cells in all tissues, particularly to the regenerable cells and tissues.

5

10

The regenerated plants will normally consist of a mosaic of transformed and untransformed cells, and it is thought that different patches of transgenic cells may result from independent transformation events. In order to single out the different transformation events, a "clonal" step is preferably included in the process. By "cloning" is meant the process of regenerating a plant starting from single cells or a group of cells. A naturally occuring cloning process is provided by the gametogenesis. Haploid cloned plants can thus be generated e.g. by microspore culture. Homozygous plants with a limited number of transgene insertions can then be readily obtained as "doubled haploids". It is clear however that the gametes can be used to fertilize or be fertilized by a corresponding gamete to yield zygotic embryos.

20

15

Seeds, preferably seeds obtained through selfing of the regenerated plants, allow isolation of tranformed plant lines with a limited number of transgenes in the progeny of the regenerated plants systemically infected with the *Agrobacterium* strains. It is clear that not all progeny seeds necessarily will yield transgenic plants, but methods to detect the presence of transgenes (Southern, PCR, expression of marker genes) are well known in the art.

25

30

It should be appreciated that the above described cloning methods are only applicable to fertile plants. Plants which *e.g.* cannot undergo gametogenesis will require the use of *in vitro* cloning methods. Several methods are available in the art, including but not limited to protoplasting (*e.g.* described in Lijsebettens *et al*, 1986, *J. Mol. Biol.* 188, 129-145)

10

15

20

25

30

mechanical disruption of the tissue (Meins and Binns, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci USA* **74**, 2928-2932) or shoot generation from explants such as *e.g.* leaf discs. It is thought that the unability of the auxotrophic *Agrobacteria* strains present in the plant tissues to grow on the plant media used for *in vitro* culture, allowing the use of *in vitro* cloning systems without having to resort to bacteriocidal or bacteriostatic compounds, constitutes a major advantage of the described transformation processes.

Regenerated plants can be cured of the systemically spread *Agrobacteria*. by culturing the intact regenerated plants in the absence of the required nutrient and in the presence of a bacteriocidal compound, such as an antibiotic. It should be noticed that at this stage no regeneration is any longer required, hence the bacteriocidal compounds can be used despite their potential noxious effects on regeneration. It is also generally thought that *Agrobacterium* does not transmit via seeds. Harvesting progeny seeds thus represents an alternative way to obtain plants cured from *Agrobacteria*.

In accordance with this invention, transformation protocols are provided comprising the further step of stimulating locally the growth of the endemic Agrobacterium population, preferably at the site of appropriate target tissues, particularly at the site of inflorescence meristems. To this end, the regenerated plant, systemically infected by the auxotrophic Agrobacterium strain, is locally supplied with the required nutrient, particularly with the required methionine, to stimulate locally Agrobacterium division and transfer of the DNA of interest to the cells of the target tissue of interest. The mode of application is considered not to be important, and methods for application of chemicals to plants are generally available in the art (e.g. spraying with a solution of the required nutrient in 70 % aceton).

10

15

20

25

30

In a preferred embodiment, the required nutrient is supplied to the cell lineage yielding the gametes and ultimately the seeds, particularly to the infloresence meristems or immature infloresences. In another embodiment the required nutrient is supplied to tissue particularly amenable for *in vitro* regeneration.

It is preferred to allow a time period, particularly at least one day, to lapse before proceeding to the cloning step. It is clear that in case infloresence meristems or immature infloresences have been supplied with the required nutrient, it is preferred that sufficient time is allowed to lapse to allow harvest of the gametes or the seeds.

It is clear that for the method of the invention, it is not strictly required that the whole plant be infected with the auxotrophic *Agrobacteria*. Plants wherein only parts, such as e.g. leaves, are infected by the auxotrophic *Agrobacteria* can be used as suitable material to isolate the transformed cells for regeneration of the transformed plants according to the method of the invention.

In this regard, it is important to note that a "systemically infected plant" as used herein, is a plant wherein the *Agrobacterium* strain is present in at least some part of the plant, preferably in at least several parts of the plants.

The described *in planta* transformation methods using auxotrophic Agrobacterium strains are expected to be suited for transformation of any plant for which regeneration methods from explant tissue are available. The methods of the invention will be especially suited for transformation of plants wherein the transformation and regeneration cannot be achieved in a single step. The *in planta* transformation methods are particularly suited for transformation of sweet pepper (Capsicum annuum) cucumber (Cucumis sativus), sunflower (Heliantus annuum), leek (Allium

ampeloprasum), corn (Zea mays), wheat (Triticum spp, particularly T. aestivum and T. turgidum), barley (Hordeum vulgare), triticale (Triticosecale spp.) oat (Avena spp) rye (Secale cereale) and rice (Oriza sativa).

5

10

15

20

It is clear that the auxotrophic Agrobacterium strains of the invention can be used in any Agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation protocol. thereby obviating the need for control of the proliferation of the Agrobacterium with bacteriostatic or bacteriocidal compounds. It is believed that the auxotrophic Agrobacterium strains of the invention, preferably methionine requiring Agrobacterium strains, particularly LBA4404met are especially suited for use in transformation of plant protoplasts, particularly carrot petiole protoplasts, sugarbeet guard cell protoplasts, and corn protoplasts, and for use in transformation of callus tissue, preferably embryogenic callus tissue, particularly embryogenic callus tissue from sugarbeet or cucumber. The auxotrophic Agrobacterium strains of the invention are expected to be useful for the transformation all plants, either dicotylodonous or monocotyledonous but are particularly suited for the transformation of sweet pepper (Capsicum annuum) cucumber (Cucumis sunflower (Heliantus annuum), leek (Allium ampeloprasum), sugar beet (Beta spp), chicory (Cichorium spp.) corn (Zea mays), wheat (Triticum spp, particularly T. aestivum and T. turgidum), barley (Hordeum vulgare), triticale (Triticosecale spp.) oat (Avena spp) rye (Secale cereale) and rice (Oriza sativa)

25

30

Although not intending to limit the present invention to any one theory or mode of action, it is thought that the reduction in fitness of the auxotrophic bacteria in the used *in vitro* culture media is pivotal for the increased efficiency in transfer by reducing the stress induced by the vigorous proliferation and associated pathogenesis-related processes induced in the plant, yet avoiding the negative effects imposed on the plant cells,

particularly protoplasts, of added bacteriostatic or bacteriocidal compounds.

The following Examples describe the methods of the invention in detail. Unless stated otherwise in the Examples, all recombinant DNA techniques are carried out according to standard protocols as described in Sambrook et al. (1989) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, Second Edition, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, NY and in Volumes 1 and 2 of Ausubel et al.. (1994) Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Current Protocols, USA. Standard materials and methods for plant molecular work are described in Plant Molecular Biology Labfax (1993) by R.D.D. Croy, jointly published by BIOS Scientific Publications Ltd (UK) and Blackwell Scientific Publications, UK.

In the examples and in the description of the invention, reference is made to the sequences of the Sequence Listing. The following free text is contained within the Sequence Listing:

- <223> Description of Artificial Sequence: T-DNA of pGSV71
- 20 <223> RB: T-DNA right border

5

10

15

- <223> CaMV35S P3 promoter
- <223> region encoding phosphinotricin acetyltransferase
- <223> 3'nos: 3' untranslated region containing the polyadenylation signal of the nopaline synthase gene of Agrobacterium T-DNA
- 25 <223> LB: T-DNA left border

Strains LBA4404*metHV* and ATHV*ade,his* have been deposited at the Belgian Coordinated Collections of Microorganisms (BCCM), Laboratorium voor Microbiologie - Bacterienverzameling (LMG), Universiteit Gent, K. L.

Ledeganckstraat 35, B-9000 Gent, Belgium on August 20, 1998, and have been attributed the following deposition numbers:

LBA4404metHV: LMG P-18486 ATHVade,his: LMG P-18485

5

10

15

20

25

30

EXAMPLES

Example 1: Selection of an auxotrophic Agrobacterium strain

A single colony from a freshly grown Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain LBA4404 (on LB medium supplemented with streptomycin 25 µg/ml) was inoculated in 5 ml of LB broth (supplemented with streptomycin 25 µg/ml) and grown at 28°C on a rotatory shaker for 48 hrs. 2 ml of this culture was irradiated with X-rays at 600 Krad for 37,5 min or 200 Krad for 12,5 min. To 1 ml of the irradiated culture, 2 ml of minimal M9 medium (60 mM K₂HPO₄, 33 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.75 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.17 mM tri-sodium citrate.2H₂0, 0.02% MgSO₄, 0.2% glucose, 0.0005 % thiamine) supplemented with 1 g/l Casamino acids and 25 µg/ml streptomycin were added, incubated for 48 hrs at 28° C with shaking. These cultures were plated at appropriate dilutions and surving colonies (about 10⁶ CFU/ml) were screened on minimal medium (25 µg/ml streptomycin) with and without 1g/L Casamino acids. Two colonies were isolated which were unable to grow on unsupplemented minimal medium. These auxotrophic colonies were then used to inoculate a series of 2 ml liquid minimal M9 medium cultures supplemented with 0.1 mM of each of the amino acids separately and incubated at 28°C with shaking for about 48 hrs. The first auxotrophic strain grew well on isoleucine and threonine supplemented media and grew slightly on asparagine, phenylalanine or alanine. The second auxotrophic mutant strain grew only on minimal media supplemented with methionine. This latter strain was designated LBA4404met.

LBA4404*met* was unable to grow on media comprising the direct precursor of methionin, homocystein. It can thus be deduced that the mutation in LBA4404*met* affects homocysteine methyltransferase.

Reversion mutation frequency was estimated by plating dense cultures of LBA4404met on minimal medium plates (not supplemented with methionine) and incubating for prolonged time at 28°C. Even after two weeks no colonies were observed on a plate inoculated with 10 μ l of undiluted bacterial culture (1.7 x 10 8 CFU/ml), allowing estimation of the reversion frequency as below 0.5 x 10 $^{-7}$.

10

15

20

25

30

5

Example 2: Agrobacterium LBA4404*met* mediated transformation efficiencies improved by inclusion of *vir* genes derived from a hypervirulent helper Ti-plasmid.

The nearly isogenic strains LBA4404*met* and LBA4404*met*HV comprising the T-DNA vector pNUN25 were used to evaluate the influence on the transformation efficiency of a hypervirulent helper Ti-plasmid in an auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* strain. Strain LBA4404*met*HV was obtained by introduction of plasmid pTOK47 (Jin *et al.*, *supra*) in LBA4404*met* (by electroporation). pNUN25 is a T-DNA vector, comprising a *npt*II gene under control of a *nos* promoter and operably linked to a *nos* terminator between the T-DNA borders, as well as a bacterially expressed *npt*II gene outside of the T-DNA borders. It was constructed by introducing a DNA fragment comprising the glutamine-synthase coding region from *Nicotiana sylvestris* (having the sequence of the EMBL entry under Accession number X66940 from nucleotide 67 to nucleotide 1363) and to which an *Xba*I site (5' of the start codon) and an *Eco*RV site (3' of the end of the coding region) had been engineered by PCR amplification, into the *XbaII Eco*ICRI linearized pNUN5 (WO 94/29465).

Nicotiana tabacum leaf discs were incubated for two days in 5 ml MS20 medium [MS salts (Murashige and Skoog, 1968 Physiol. Plant. 15, 473-

497) supplemented with 20g/L sucrose] supplemented with 1 mg/L BAP (benzylaminopurine), and then inoculated with either LBA4404met(pNUN25) or LBA4404metHV(pNUN25) to a final OD600 of 0.05. The co-cultivation was incubated at 27°C for two days under a 16hr/8hr light/dark cycle. Next, the leaf discs were transferred to solidified MS20 medium supplemented with 1 mg/L BAP and 100 mg/L kanamycin. Kanamycin resistant shoots were removed as they emerged and further cultivated on selective medium. About 60 transformed shoots were isolated from about 100 leaf discs when LBA4404met(pNUN25) was used and about 223 transformed shoots were isolated from about 100 leaf discs when LBA4404metHV(pNUN25) was used.

This allowed to conclude that the *vir* genes of a hypervirulent Ti-plasmid still exerted the same effect in an auxotrophic background as observed in a prototrophic background by Jin *et al.* (*supra*).

15

20

25

30

10

5

Example 3: In planta transformation of cucumber (Cucumis sativa)

Cucumber seeds of the genotype Gu1665-M were surface sterilized by incubation for 1 min in a 70% ethanol solution, followed by 15 min incubation in a 4% hypochlorite solution. The seeds were rinsed three times in sterile H₂0 and grown *in vitro* to plants. 24 internodia were harvested from the axenically grown cells and incubated for 30 min in 10 ml liquid MS20 to which LBA4404*met*HV (pNUN8) bacteria (grown in LB supplemented with 0.1 mM methionine, 100 mg/L kanamycin, 25mg/L streptomycin and 2 mg/L tetracycline) were added to a final OD₆₀₀ of 0.1. pNUN8 is a T-DNA vector similar to pVDH99 (for description see below) wherein *EcoRI/XhoI* DNA fragment comprising the *nptII* chimeric marker gene has been replaced by a DNA fragment comprising the chimeric phosphinotricin acetyltransferase coding region between CaMV35S derived promoter and terminator sequences. The internodia were removed from the bacterial suspension and the excess of bacteria was removed by a

quick blotting on filter paper . Next the inoculated internodia were transferred to MS20 solid medium for regeneration.

Healthy looking, regenerated plants were then used to demonstrate the presence of the bacteria in the flowers, as well as the presence of beta-glucuronidase activity in parts of the flowers by histochemical staining.

5

10

15

20

25

30

To demonstrate the presence of the Agrobacteria, 30 flowers from the gnotobiotically grown plants were each incubated in 1 ml of LB. In 28 cases bacterial growth was observed after 2-3 days of incubation.

Flowers were also histochemically stained for beta glucuronidase activity according to Jefferson(1987) (*Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* **5**(4): 387-405). In at least six flowers, blue spots indicating GUS activity and thus DNA-transfer in these tissues was observed. Pollen from flowers of these plants are used to pollinate female flowers. Seeds originating from the pollinated female flowers are germinated on phosphinotricin comprising media to obtain transformed plants.

Example 4: In planta transformation of tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum)

Internodia were isolated from axenically grown tobacco plants (SR1) and incubated for 120 min in a suspension (OD₆₀₀ 0.05 /in 30 ml MS20) of LBA4404*met*HV (pNUN8) bacteria. Next the internodia were transferred to a solid MS20 medium supplemented with 10 µM acetosyringone. Young leaves from *in vitro* regenerated plants were subjected to histochemical GUS-staining and blue sectors of different sizes were observed in several leaves. The difference in size of the stained sectors might reflect the entrance the time-point at which the T-DNA entered the precursor cell(s) in the cell lineage. Leafs of the regenerated plants are used as starting material to isolate leaf discs, which are cultivated on PPT-comprising media to obtain transgenic shoots and ultimately transgenic plants (according to the method described by Horsch *et al.*, 1984, *Science* 223:496-498).

Example 5: In planta transformation of tomato (Lycopersium esculentum)

Internodia were isolated from axenically grown tomato plants (Moneymaker) and incubated for 15 min in a suspension (OD₆₀₀ 0.05 /in 30 ml MS20) of LBA4404metHV (pVDH99) bacteria. pVDH99 is a plasmid comprising a T-DNA with a 35S GUS-intron chimeric gene as described by Van Canneyt et al. (1990, Mol. Gen. Genet. 220: 245-250) and a chimeric selectable CaMV35S-nptll gene. The plasmid further comprises a bacterial kanamycin resistance. Next the internodia were transferred to a solid MS20 medium supplemented with 10 µM acetosyringone. Young leaves from in vitro regenerated plants were subjected to histochemical GUS-staining and blue sectors of different sizes were observed in several leaves. The difference in size of the stained sectors might reflect the entrance the timepoint at which the T-DNA entered the precursor cell(s) in the cell lineage. Leafs of the regenerated plants are used as starting material to isolate leaf discs, which are cultivated on kanaycin-comprising media to obtain transgenic shoots and ultimately transgenic plants (according to the method described by Mc Cormick et al., 1986 Plant Cell Reports 5: 81-84).

20

25

30

15

5

10

Example 6: In planta transformation of leek (Allium ampeloprasum)

For this purpose, fully mature field grown plants are used and harvested. Plants are left to dry for 3 days in order to reduce infections. Basal plates are collected, cut in four pieces (with complete elimination of the roots) and subsequently sterilized by submersion for 1 minute in 70 % ethanol, followed by 20 minutes treatment with 2% Na0Cl (commercial bleach). After this treatment the explants are washed 4 times with sterile water, with 10 min intervals between the washing steps.

These explants are transferred to induction medium [DS macro elements (Dunstan and Short, 1979, *Sci Hort* 112: 37-43), MS microelements (Murashige and Skoog, 1968 see *infra*), FeEDTA, thiamine 10 mg/L,

pyridoxine 1 mg/L, nicotinic acid 1 mg/L, inositol 100 mg/L, sucrose 30g/L, isopentenyl adenine (2-IP) 4 mg/L NAA, NAA 1.25 mg/L, Agar 8 g/L]. After 3 to 4 weeks incubation at 21°C with a light intensity of 2000 to 4000 lux. the first plantlets emerge, which are then used for the inoculation with Agrobacterium LBA4404metHV (pVDH99). To this end, the young plantlets are removed form the initial explants and an incision is made in the basal part of the young plantlets. This wounded basal part is soaked in an Agrobacterium suspension with an 0D600 of about 0.01 for a period of 30 minutes. The excess of Agrobacterium suspension is quickly removed by blotting on filter paper, prior to transfer to the induction medium. The inoculated plants develop adventitious plants, some of which are tested by histochemical GUS staining to verify the presence of putative transgenic sectors. Similar adventious plantlets are transferred to a medium that allows the outgrowth to mature leek plants [similar to the induction medium except that NAA is reduced to 0.1 mg/L and sucrose to 20 g/L. Seeds are harvested from the regenerated mature plants and germinated to obtain transgenic plants. Transgenic plants are recognized by their ability to grow on kanamycin containing media. Transgenic plants are further recognized by PCR detection of the inserted T-DNA sequences.

20

25

30

15

5

10

Example 7: Agrobacterium mediated transformation of embryogenic callus from cucumber (Cucumis sativa)

Embryogenic callus from cucumber genotype 941687-G was generated as described (Chee, P. (1990) *Hortscience* **25** (7), 792-793). The callus was cut in a petridish into little pieces of less than 1 mm in 5 ml MS30 2/0.5 2-4D/kin [MS salts (Murashige and Skoog, 1968 *Physiol. Plant.* **15**, 473-497) supplemented with 30g/L sucrose, 2 mg/L 2,4-dichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2,4-D) and 0.5 mg/L kinetin]. To this petridish, an *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* LBA4404*met*HV(pTOK47) (pVDH99) suspension was added to a final OD₆₀₀ of 0.5. The bacteria had been cultivated for 2 days at 28°C

with shaking, in 10 ml LB supplemented with 0.1 mM methionine, 100 mg/L kanamycin, 25 mg/L streptomycin and 2 mg/L tetracyclin. The bacteria were harvested by centrifugation, washed with the MS30 2/05 2-4D/kin medium and resuspended to the original density.

After 4 days of co-cultivation at 27°C in the dark, the calli were washed twice with 25 ml of liquid MS30 2/0.5 medium, plated on solid medium MS30 2/0.5 supplemented with 100 mg/L kanamycin, and further incubated at 27°C in the dark. After two weeks, selected calli were subjected to histochemical GUS-staining as described by Jefferson (1987) (*Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 5(4): 387-405). Blue sectors could be visualized in at least 5 calli, indicative of cells transformed by the T-DNA of pVDH99. Healthy looking sectors of the calli grown on the selective medium were dissected out and transferred to similar medium without kanamycin, to allow regeneration.

15

20

25

30

10

5

Example 8: Transformation of carrot protoplasts by an auxotrophic Agrobacterium strain.

Carrot protoplasts were isolated from *Daucus carrota var* Sytan B142 petioles according to Dirks *et al.*, 1996 (R. Dirks, V. Sidorov, C. Tulmens 1996, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **93**: 809-815) resuspended at a density of 8 x 10⁵ protoplasts /ml in CPP-CA (CPP medium according to Dirks *et al.*, 1996 with omission of the casamino acids) supplemented with 0.1 mg/L 2,4-D and 0.2 mg/L zeatin. Four petridishes containing 1.5ml of protoplast suspension were co-cultivated with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* LBA4404*met* (pNUN20) (added to a final OD₆₀₀ of 0.05) for two days at 27°C. No antibiotics were added to the protoplast culture media. The bacteria were harvested and washed prior to addition to the protoplasts, to remove the methionine.

pNUN20 is a T-DNA vector comprising a T-DNA with a chimeric selectable nptll gene and a chimeric gene consisting of a CaMV 35S promoter

10

15

20

25

30

operably linked to a beta-subunit H+ ATPase (Shinozaki, K., Devo, H., Keto, A. and Suginec, M. (1983) *Gene* **24**, 147-155; EMBL-database accession number K00507) followed by a nopaline synthase gene terminator. The plasmid further comprises a bacterial kanamycin resistance gene outside of the T-DNA borders.

After two days, 1.5 ml of alginate was added to each petridish and the alginate discs containing the protoplasts were floated on 3 ml CPP-CA supplemented with 0.1 mg/L 2,4-D and 0.2 mg/L zeatin, for about 12 days before addition of 100mg/L kanamycin. The discs were further incubated about two weeks. Next, the alginate discs were dissolved in 40 mM sodium citrate, washed, resuspended in 5 ml CPP-CA 30/20 S/N supplemented with 0.1 mg/L NAA (naphtalene acetic acid) and 0.2 mg/L zeatin and plated on solid medium (CPP-CA 30/20 S/N supplemented with 0.1 mg/L 2.4-D and 0.2 mg/L zeatin) containing either 75 or 100 mg/L kanamycin. Calli growing healthy on the selective media were transferred to fresh selective medium as they appeared. About 285 green kanamycin resistant calli were obtained from about 4.8 x 10⁶ protoplasts. These calli were transferred to B5-0.1 medium (B5 medium according to Gamborg, 0., R., Ojima K. (1968) Experimental Cell Research 50: 151-158 containing 0.1 mg/l 2,4 D) supplemented with 200 mg/L Claforan and 100 mg/L kanamycin to induce embryogenic calli and B5 medium without growth regulators was used to regenerate plants.

Example 9. Transformation of chicory (*Cichorium sp.*) with an auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* strain.

Seeds of chicory were surface-sterilized (in sodium hypochlorite 2%) rinsed and germinated on MS20 medium and grown at 27 °C for 4 to 8 weeks. Leaf discs of about 4-6 mm diameter were transferred to MSN20 medium [MS salts(Murashige and Skoog, *supra*), vitamins according to Nitsch and Nitsch 1965, *Ann. Phys. Veg* 7, 251-266), glycin 2,0 mg/L,

10

15

25

30

sucrose 20 g/L pH5.8, agar 8g/L) supplemented with 1 mg/L BAP, 0.2 mg/L NAA and 0.01 mM methionine, taking care that the abaxial surface of the leaf discs is in contact with the medium. After 1 day, the leaf discs were submersed for 20 minutes in a bacterial suspension (0D600 between 0.01 - 0.1) of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* LBA4404*met* comprising the T-DNA vector pNUN7. pNUN7 is a plasmid comprising a T-DNA with a CAMV 35S promoter -GUS-intron chimeric gene as described by Van Canneyt *et al.* (*supra*) and a chimeric selectable *npt*II gene. The plasmid further comprises a bacterially expressed kanamycinresistance gene.

The leaf discs are further incubated on MSN20 supplemented with 1 mg/L BAP, 0.2 mg/L NAA for two days and then washed in liquid MS20 medium. The washed leaf discs are further incubated on selective medium MNS20 supplemented with 1 mg/L BAP, 0.2 mg/L NAA and 100 mg/L kanamycin (with transfer to fresh selective medium every 14 days). After about 5 weeks the selection medium is changed to MS20 with 0.1 -1 mg/L BAP and 100 mg/L kanamycine.

About 20 kanamycin resistant transformed plants were generated from 48 leaf pieces.

20 Example 10: Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of DSM6009 corn protoplasts.

Corn protoplasts of the genotype DSM6009 are prepared according to EP 0 469273 A1, and co-cultivated for 2-3 days with Agrobacterium tumefaciens LBA4404*met* comprising the helper plasmid pAL4404 and the T-DNA vector pGSV71. pGSV71 is a T-DNA vector derived from pGSC1700 (Cornelissen and Vandewiele, 1989, *Nucl. Acids Res.* 17: 833) differing by the absence of the -lactamase gene and the presence of the T-DNA characterized by the sequence of SEQ ID No. 1. pGVS71 comprises the selectable chimeric *bar* marker gene, operably linked to a

CaMV35S promoter and the 3' end of the nopaline synthase gene. After 2-3 days, protoplasts are washed with W5 -buffer and cultured further according to EP 0 469273 A1. Selection and regeneration of transformed cells into transformed corn plants are as described in EP 0 469273 A1. Phosphinotricin resistant corn plants are obtained and the presence of the transgene is verified by polymerase chain reaction and southern analysis.

Example 11: Isolation and evaluation of auxotrophic Agrobacerium strain ATHVade,his.

10

15

20

25

5

An *Agrobacterium* strain auxotrophic for two nutrients was selected by the following protocol, starting from strain ATHV (described by Lazo *et al.*, 1991, *Biotechnology* 9: 963-967 as strain AGL0).

Strain ATHV was grown overnight in LB supplemented with 100 μ g/ml rifampin (Rif) . One ml of this cell culture was used to inoculate a flask containing 20 ml LB /100 μ g Rif , and allowed to grow for 4hrs (with shaking) at 30°C. Ten ml of this culture was illuminated with 254nm UV-light for 2 to 4 hr. 100 μ l of the UV-illuminated culture was plated on LB/Rif medium solidified with agar, and incubated in the dark at 30°C for 2 to 3 days. The resulting colonies were replica-plated to minimal medium (M9; see Example 1) and LB medium both supplemented with 100 μ g/ml rifampin. Colonies unable to grow on the minimal medium were isolated and analyzed for nutrient requirements. One strain, designated ATHV*ade,his*, was identified which required the addition of adenine for growth, and additionally grew much better when histidine was added (see Table 1). This double requirement for exogenously supplied nutrients allows even better growth control of this *Agrobacterium* strain.

Table 1: Growth of ATHVade, his in minimal medium with different concentrations of adenine (ade) and histidine (his). The values represented are OD600 measured after two days of growth.

| | Ade | Ade | Ade | Ade | Ade |
|-------------|-------|----------|--------|---------|--------|
| | 0 mM | 0.005 mM | 0.01mM | 0.05 mM | 0.1 mM |
| His 0 mM | 0.019 | 0.059 | 0.067 | 0.111 | 0.129 |
| His 0.01 mM | 0.034 | 0.07 | 0.071 | 0.111 | 0.141 |
| His 0.05 mM | 0.04 | 0.093 | 0.112 | 0.144 | 0.196 |
| His 0.1 mM | 0.035 | 0.102 | 0.123 | 0.163 | 0.221 |

10

15

20

To analyze whether strain ATHVade,his was still capable of T-DNA transfer, a derivative strain containing T-DNA vector pNUN7 (see Example 9) was used to infect leaf discs of *N. tabacum* and *N. sylvestris* in comparison with a wild type ATHV strain containing the same T-DNA vector. In *N. tabacum* about 115 transformed shoots were obtained from about 50 leaf segments after inoculation with ATHV(pNUN7), while about 112 transformed shoots were obtained from about 50 leaf segments after inoculation with ATHVade,his(pNUN7). In *N. sylvestris* about 17 transformed shoots were obtained from about 50 leaf segments after inoculation with ATHV(pNUN7), while about 40 transformed shoots were obtained from about 50 leaf segments after inoculation with ATHV(pNUN7).

Example 12: In planta transformation of Petunia (*Petunia hybrida*)

Either internodia or shoot tips (excised below the node bearing the first 3 to 4 mm leaf) were isolated from axenically grown plants and incubated for about 7 to 10 min with *Agrobacterium* LBA4404*met*HV(pNUN7) cell

10

suspension, blotted dry and cultured on plant culture media, as described in the previous examples. The shoot tips were not immersed but put with the cut end in a well containing the *Agrobacteria*. Internal examination of explants and regenerating plants, at different times, revealed GUS expression associated at least with vascular bundles.

The bacterial presence was estimated in the different parts of the plants after 4 weeks culture *in vitro*. To this end, parts of different leaves were removed, ground in a tube containing 100 µl LB. After settling, 20 µl of the supernatants was plated on LB medium containing rifampin. The results are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Number of bacteria determined in different infected Petunia plant parts

| Tested leaf | # of bacteria in 20 μl | # of bacteria in 20 µl plant |
|---------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| | plant extract from nodal | extract from shoot tip |
| | cultures | cultures (individual exp.) |
| | (individual exp.) | |
| Upper tip | 204 / 92 / 0 | > 200/ >500/0 |
| Upper center | 271 / 0 / 0 | >500/ 6/ 0 |
| Middle tip | >500/ 5/ 0 / >1000/ >500/ | 200/ 1/ >200/ >1000/ >500/ |
| | 26/ >1000 | >100/ 0/ 5/ >1000/ >1000 |
| Middle center | 10/ 0/ 50 | >200/ 29/ 500 |
| Basal tip | >500/ 449/ 3 | > 500/ 19/ >1000 |
| Basal center | >500/ 0/ 0 | >2000/ >1000/ 0 |

All plants tested contained Rif resistant Agrobacteria, and about 75% of all the samples tested contained Agrobacteria.

Example 13: Comparison of transformation efficiency of *A.*tumefaciens strain LBA4404met HV with LBA4404 HV in transformation of *Brassica napus*.

The transformation efficiency of *A. tumefaciens* LBA4404*metHV* derivatives (containing T-DNA vectors with a chimeric β-glucuronidase gene) in the transformation of embryo's obtained from microspore cultures of *Brassica napus* "Topas" was compared with the transformation efficiency of LBA4404HV and GV3101 containing similar T-DNA vectors. The sequence of events in the transformation can be summarized as follows:

- a. establishing cell cultures from microspores of *B. napus*
- b. generating somatic embryo's
- c. co-cultivating those embryo's with a A. tumefaciens strain comprising the T-DNA vectors
- d. grow the co-cultivated embryo's to the "hypocotyl" stage and inducing secundary embryogenesis.
- e. Submit the secundary embryo's to a selective regime
- f. Score the surviving embryo's for β -glucuronidase expression.

20

25

15

5

10

When LBA4404*metHV* comprising a T-DNA vector with a chimeric β-glucuronidase gene was used to transform *B. napus* embryo's about 80% of the secundary embryo's obtained had GUS+ sectors, whereas only 5% of the secundary embryo's exhibited such spots when co-cultivated with LBA4404HV comprising a similar T-DNA vector. In a more quantitative assay the transformation efficiency of LBA4404*met*HV, LBA4404HV and GV3101, each comprising a T-DNA vector with a chimeric β-glucuronidase gene was compared. The results, demonstrating a more efficient transformation with LBA4404*met*HV, are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Efficiency of transformation, assayed by GUS expression

| Host strain | Percentage embryo's | Average number of GUS+ |
|--------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| | with GUS+ sectors | sectors per embryo |
| LBA4404metHV | 82 | >20 |
| LBA4404HV | 67 | 5 -10 |
| GV3101 | 59 | ±5 |

INDICATIONS RELATING TO A DEPOSITED MICROORGANISM

(PCT Rul 13bis)

| A. The indications made below relate to the microor | |
|--|--|
| on page 16 , line | |
| B. IDENTIFICATION OF DEPOSIT | Further deposits are identified on an additional sheet |
| Name of depositary institution | |
| BCCM - LMG | |
| Address of depositary institution (including postal code | and country) |
| UNIVERSITEIT GENT | |
| K.L. Ledeganckstraat 35 | |
| B - 9000 GENT, BELGIUM | |
| | |
| Date of deposit August 20, 1998 | Accession Number LMG P - 18485 |
| - | |
| C. ADDITIONAL INDICATIONS (leave blank if | not applicable) This information is continued on an additional sheet |
| of a Patent or, for 20 years from | publication of the mention of the grant mention the date of filing if the application has do not be withdrawn, the availability of the conly by the issue of a sample to an expert |
| | |
| D. DESIGNATED STATES FOR WHICH IN | DICATIONS ARE MADE (if the indications are not for all designated States) |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| E. SEPARATE FURNISHING OF INDICAT | IONS (leave blank if not applicable) |
| The indications listed below will be submitted to the In Number of Deposit*) | sternational Bureau later (specify the general nature of the indications e.g., "Accession |
| | |
| | |
| • | |
| 1. 07 | For International Bureau use only |
| This sheet was received with the international a | pplication This sheet was received by the International Bureau on: |
| Ken | |
| Authorized officer | Authorized officer |
| | |

Form PCT/RO/134 (July 1992)

INDICATIONS RELATING TO A DEPOSITED MICROORGANISM

(PCT Rule 13bis)

| A. The indications made below relate to the microorganism ref | erred to in the description -4 |
|--|--|
| B. IDENTIFICATION OF DEPOSIT | Further deposits are identified on an additional sheet |
| Name of depositary institution BCCM - LMG | |
| Address of depositary institution (including postal code and country, UNIVERSITEIT GENT K.L. Ledeganckstraat 35 B - 9000 GENT, BELGIUM | |
| Date of deposit August 20, 1998 | Accession Number LMG P - 18486 |
| C. ADDITIONAL INDICATIONS (leave blank if not applicate | |
| It is requested that, until the publica of a Patent or, for 20 years from the dependence of the depend | withdrawn, the availability of the |
| D. DESIGNATED STATES FOR WHICH INDICATION | ONS ARE MADE (if the indications are not for all designated States) |
| | |
| E. SEPARATE FURNISHING OF INDICATIONS (lea | |
| The indications listed below will be submitted to the Internations Number of Deposit*) | l Bureau later (specify the general nature of the indications e.g., "Accession |
| For receiving Office use only | For International Bureau use only |
| This sheet was received with the international application | This sheet was received by the International Bureau on: |
| Authorized officer | Authorized officer |

Form PCT/RO/134 (July 1992)

10

15

20

<u>Claims</u>

- A process to produce a transgenic plant comprising a foreign DNA fragment integrated into the genome of at least some of its cells, said process comprising the following steps:
 - 1) providing a plant which is systemically infected with an auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* strain harbouring a DNA of interest which is operably linked to at least one T-DNA border sequence; and
 - 2) generating a transgenic plant from a single cell or a group of cells isolated from said systemically infected plant.
- 2. The process according to claim 1, wherein said plant which is systemically infected with said auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* strain is regenerated from explant tissue inoculated with said auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* strain.
- 3. The process according to claim 2, wherein said regeneration of said plant which is systemically infected with said auxotrophic Agrobacterium strain is performed on a plant regeneration medium, in the absence of a compound with a bacteriocidal or bacteriostatic effect on said auxotrophic Agrobacterium strain.
- The process according to any one of the claims 1 to 3, wherein said transgenic plant is generated by in vitro regeneration of a protoplast isolated from said systemically infected plant.
- 5. The process according to any one of the claims 1 to 3, wherein said transgenic plant is generated by in vitro regeneration of an explant tissue isolated from said systemically infected plant.

6. The process according to any one of the claims 1 to 3, wherein said transgenic plant is generated by in vitro regeneration of a microspore of said systemically infected plant.

5

7. The process according to any one of the claims 1 to 3, wherein said transgenic plant is generated by germination of tranformed progeny seed of said systemically infected plant.

10

The process according to any one of claims 1 to 7, which further 8. comprises the step of applying the required nutrient to at least part of the systemically infected plant prior to the step of generating a transformed plant.

15

9. The process according to claim 8, wherein said required nutrient is applied to the infloresence meristem or immature infloresence of said systemically infected plant.

20

10. The process according to any one of claims 1 to 9, wherein said Agrobacterium is auxotrophic for cysteine or methionine or for adenine and histidine.

11.

The process according to any one of claims 1 to 9, wherein said Agrobacterium is LBA4404met or ATHVade, his.

25

- 12. Bacterial strain LBA4404met.
- 13. Bacterial strain ATHVade, his.

WO 99/10512 PCT/EP98/05372

34

- 14. The use of an *Agrobacterium* strain auxotrophic for methionine or cysteine or for adenine and histidine in *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of plants.
- 5 15. The use of *Agrobacterium* strain auxotrophic for methionine or cysteine or for adenine and histidine in *Agrobacterium* mediated transformation of callus from sugarbeet.
- 16. The use of *Agrobacterium* strain auxotrophic for methionine or cysteine or for adenine and histidine in *Agrobacterium* mediated transformation of corn protoplasts.

15

17. The use according to any one of claims 13 to 15, wherein said auxotrophic *Agrobacterium* is selected from the group of LBA4404*met*, ATHV*ade*, *his* and derivatives thereof.

SEQUENCE LISTING

```
<110> Nunhems Zaden BV
<120> Improved Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of plants
<130> B3715A
<140>
<141>
<150> EP 97114654.3
<151> 1997-08-25
<160> 2
<170> PatentIn Ver. 2.0
<210> 1
<211> 2345
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: T-DNA of pGSV71
<220>
<221> misc_signal
<222> (1)..(25)
<223> RB: T-DNA right border
<220>
<221> promoter
<222> (53)..(1436)
<223> CaMV35S P3 promoter
<220>
<221> CDS
<222> (1437)..(1988)
<223> region encoding phosphinotricin acetyltransferase
<220>
<221> 3'UTR
<222> (2007)..(2266)
<223> 3'nos: 3' untranslated region containing the polyadenylation
signal of the nopaline synthase gene of Agrobacterium T-DNA.
<220>
<221> misc_signal
<222> (2321)..(2345)
<223> LB: T-DNA left border
```

<400> 1 aattacaacg gtatatatcc tgccagtact cggccgtcga ccgcggtacc cggaattcca 60 atcccaccaa aacctgaacc tagcagttca gttgctcctc tcagagacga atcgggtatt 120 caacaccete ataceaacta etaegtegtg tataaeggae eteatgeegg tatataegat 180 gactggggtt gtacaaaggc agcaacaaac ggtgttcccg gagttgcgca taagaagttt 240 gccactatta cagaggcaag agcagcagct gacgcgtata caacaagtca gcaaacagat 300 aggttgaact tcatccccaa aggagaagct caactcaagc ccaagagctt tgcgaaggcc 360 ctaacaagcc caccaaagca aaaagcccac tgctcacgct aggaaccaaa aggcccagca 420 gtgatccagc cccaaaagag atctcctttg ccccggagat tacaatggac gatttcctct 480 atctttacga tctaggaagg aagttcgaag gtgaaggtga cgacactatg ttcaccactg 540 ataatgagaa ggttagcctc ttcaatttca gaaagaatgc tgacccacag atggttagag 600 aggeetaege ageaggtete ateaagaega tetaecegag taacaatete caggagatea 660 aataccttcc caagaaggtt aaagatgcag tcaaaagatt caggactaat tgcatcaaga 720 acacagagaa agacatattt ctcaagatca gaagtactat tccagtatgg acgattcaag 780 gcttgcttca taaaccaagg caagtaatag agattggagt ctctaaaaag gtagttccta 840 ctgaatctaa ggccatgcat ggagtctaag attcaaatcg aggatctaac agaactcgcc 900 gtgaagactg gcgaacagtt catacagagt cttttacgac tcaatgacaa gaagaaaatc 960 ttcgtcaaca tggtggagca cgacactctg gtctactcca aaaatgtcaa agatacagtc 1020 tcagaagacc aaagggctat tgagactttt caacaaagga taatttcggg aaacctcctc 1080 ggattccatt gcccagctat ctgtcacttc atcgaaagga cagtagaaaa ggaaggtggc 1140 tectacaaat gecateattg egataaagga aaggetatea tteaagatge etetgeegae 1200 agtggtccca aagatggacc cccacccacg aggagcatcg tggaaaaaga agacgttcca 1260 accacgtett caaagcaagt ggattgatgt gacateteca etgacgtaag ggatgacgca 1320 caatcccact atccttcgca agacccttcc tctatataag gaagttcatt tcatttggag 1380 aggacacget gaaatcacca gtetetetet ataaatetat etetetetet ataacc atg 1439

gac eca gaa ega ege eeg gee gae ate ege egt gee ace gag geg gae 1487

| Asp | Pro | Glu | Arg 5 | Arg | Pro | Ala | Asp | Ile 10 | Arg | Arg | Ala | Thr | Glu 15 | | Asp | |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------|
| atg Met | ccg Pro | gcg Ala 20 | gtc Val | tgc Cys | acc Thr | atc Ile | gtc Val 25 | aac Asn | cac His | tac Tyr | atc Ile | gag Glu 30 | aca Thr | agc Ser | acg Thr | 1535 |
| gtc Val | aac Asn 35 | ttc Phe | cgt Arg | acc Thr | gag Glu | ccg Pro 40 | cag Gln | gaa Glu | ccg Pro | cag Gln | gag Glu 45 | Trp | acg Thr | gac Asp | gac Asp | 1583 |
| | | | | | | | | | | ctc Leu 60 | | | | | | 1631 |
| ggc Gly | gag Glu | gtc Val | gcc Ala | ggc Gly 70 | atc Ile | gcc Ala | tac Tyr | gcg Ala | ggc Gly 75 | ccc Pro | tgg Trp | aag Lys | gca Ala | cgc Arg 80 | aac Asn | 1679 |
| | | | | | | | | | | tac Tyr | | | | | | 1727 |
| | | | | | | | | | | acc Thr | | | | | | 1775 |
| | | | | | | | | | | gct Ala | | | | | | 1823 |
| aac Asn 130 | gac Asp | ccg Pro | agc Ser | gtg Val | cgc Arg 135 | atg Met | cac His | gag Glu | gcg Ala | ctc Leu 140 | gga Gly | tat Tyr | gcc Ala | ccc Pro | cgc Arg 145 | 1871 |
| | | | | | | | | | | gly aaa | | | | | | 1919 |
| ggt Gly | ttc Phe | tgg Trp | cag Gln 165 | ctg Leu | gac Asp | ttc Phe | agc Ser | ctg Leu 170 | ccg Pro | gta Val | ccg Pro | ccc Pro | cgt Arg 175 | ccg Pro | gtc Val | 1967 |
| ctg Leu | ccc Pro | gtc Val 180 | acc Thr | gag Glu | atc Ile | tga | tctc | acgo | gt c | tagg | atco | g aa | gcag | atcg | I | 2018 |
| ttca | aaca | tt t | ggca | ataa | ıa gt | ttct | taag | att | gaat | cct | gttg | ccgg | tc t | tgcg | atgat | 2078 |
| tatc | atat | aa t | ttct | gttg | ga at | tacg | ttaa | gca | tgta | ata | atta | acat | gt a | atgo | atgac | 2138 |
| gtta | ttta | tg a | gatg | ggtt | t tt | atga | ttag | agt | cccq | caa | ttat | acat | tt a | atac | acaat | 2198 |

agaaaacaaa atatagcgcg caaactagga taaattatcg cgcgcggtgt catctatgtt 2258
actagatcgg gaagatcctc tagagtcgac ctgcaggcat gcaagcttag atccatggag 2318
ccatttacaa ttgaatatat cctgccg 2345

<210> 2

<211> 183

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<400> 2

Met Asp Pro Glu Arg Arg Pro Ala Asp Ile Arg Arg Ala Thr Glu Ala 1 5 10 15

Asp Met Pro Ala Val Cys Thr Ile Val Asn His Tyr Ile Glu Thr Ser 20 25 30

Thr Val Asn Phe Arg Thr Glu Pro Gln Glu Pro Gln Glu Trp Thr Asp 35 40 45

Asp Leu Val Arg Leu Arg Glu Arg Tyr Pro Trp Leu Val Ala Glu Val 50 55 60

Asp Gly Glu Val Ala Gly Ile Ala Tyr Ala Gly Pro Trp Lys Ala Arg
65 70 75 80

Asn Ala Tyr Asp Trp Thr Ala Glu Ser Thr Val Tyr Val Ser Pro Arg 85 90 95

His Gln Arg Thr Gly Leu Gly Ser Thr Leu Tyr Thr His Leu Lys
100 105 110

Ser Leu Glu Ala Gln Gly Phe Lys Ser Val Val Ala Val Ile Gly Leu 115 120 125

Pro Asn Asp Pro Ser Val Arg Met His Glu Ala Leu Gly Tyr Ala Pro 130 135 140

Arg Gly Met Leu Arg Ala Ala Gly Phe Lys His Gly Asn Trp His Asp 145 150 155 160

Val Gly Phe Trp Gln Leu Asp Phe Ser Leu Pro Val Pro Pro Arg Pro 165 170 175

Val Leu Pro Val Thr Glu Ile 180

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT | Int | Itional Application No

PCT/FP 98/05372

| | | | C1/ E1 98/055/2 |
|--|--|--|---|
| A. CLASSI IPC 6 | IFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER C12N15/82 C12N1/20 A01H5 | /00 | |
| According to | o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national class | ssification and IPC | |
| B. FIELDS | SEARCHED | | |
| Minimum do IPC 6 | ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classi C12N A01H C12R | fication symbols) | |
| | tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent t | | |
| Electronic d | data base consulted during the international search (name of da | ta base and, where practical, se | arch terms used) |
| C-DOCUM | ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT | | |
| Category ° | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the | ne relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
| A | SCHMIDT, R.M., ET AL.: "grow requirements and infectivity o auxotrophic adenine-dependent | f | 1-17 |
| | Agrobacterium tumefaciens" PHYTOPATHOLOGY, vol. 59, no. 10, 1969, pages 1 | 451-1454, | |
| | XP002087322 see the whole document | | · |
| А | WO 95 34667 A (DANISCO A/S) 21 December 1995 see page 4 | | 1-17 |
| A | WO 91 13159 A (BIOSEM) 5 Septe see the whole document | mber 1991 | 1-17 |
| | | -/ | |
| X Furt | ther documents are listed in the continuation of box C. | X Patent family med | mbers are listed in annex. |
| "A" docum consis "E" earlier filling a "L" docum which citatio "O" docum other "P" docum later t | ategories of cited documents: ent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or a is cited to establish the publication date of another on or other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means ent published prior to the international filing date but than the priority date claimed | or priority date and no cited to understand the invention "X" document of particular cannot be considered involve an inventive so "Y" document of particular cannot be considered document is combine ments, such combina in the art. "&" document member of the street of | ed after the international filing date it in conflict with the application but e principle or theory underlying the relevance; the claimed invention novel or cannot be considered to tep when the document is taken alone relevance; the claimed invention to involve an inventive step when the d with one or more other such docu- tion being obvious to a person skilled the same patent family international search report |
| | December 1998 | 28/12/199 | |
| Name and | mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nt, | Authorized officer | |

1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

ir ational Application No PCT/EP 98/05372

| C.(Continu | (Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT | | | | |
|------------|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Category ° | Citation of document, with Indication,where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. | | | |
| A | DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class B05, AN 72-22820T XP002055372 & JP 47 010999 B (KYOWA HAKKO KOGYO CO LTD) see abstract | 1-17 | | | |
| - | | | | | |
| | | · | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

in' itional Application No PCT/EP 98/05372

| Patent document cited in search report | Publication date | Patent family member(s) | Publication date |
|---|------------------|---|--|
| WO 9534667 A 21-12-1995 | | AU 690999 B AU 2669495 A BR 9507970 A CA 2192552 A EP 0766743 A JP 10501139 T NZ 287848 A | 07-05-1998 05-01-1996 12-08-1997 21-12-1995 09-04-1997 03-02-1998 26-06-1998 |
| WO 9113159 | A 05-09-199 | PL 317578 A | 14-04-1997 06-09-1991 06-09-1991 |
| | | AT 129745 T DE 69114275 D DE 69114275 T DK 517833 T EP 0517833 A ES 2079647 T | 15-11-1995 07-12-1995 13-06-1996 04-12-1995 16-12-1992 16-01-1996 |